Sl. No.	*State/U.T.*	*Districts*	*Covered*	*Percentage*
20.	Punjab	12	08	67
21.	Delhi	03	02	67
22.	Madhya Pradesh	45	30	67
23.	Bihar	39	26	67
24.	Uttar Pradesh	62	36	58
25.	Tripura	04	02	50
26.	Gujarat	19	09	47
27	Arunachal Pradesh	11	05	45
28.	Nagaland	07	02	29
29.	Sikkim	04	01	25
	TOTAL	400*	280	70%

Chattopadhyaya Committee on Pay Scales on Library Staff

4118. SHRI AYUB KHAN: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Chattopadhaya Committee was set up to examine the pay-scales of Library Staff in various Ministries/Departments;

(b) if so, whether the committee have submitted its report;

(c) if so, the details thereof;

(d) whether the Government have received representations from various Library Staff Associations regarding anomalies in the Committee's report; and

(e) if so, the steps taken/proposed to be taken by the Government to remove these anomalies?

THE MINISTER OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI ARJUN SINGH): (a) and (b). Yes, Sir.

(c) The report was submitted in March, 1989. It was examined in detail and certain decisions were arrived at. These decisions have since been circulated for implementations in respect of all library staff under the purview of the Central Government.

(d) Yes, Sir.

(e) The question of anomolies, if any,

can be taken up only after the Govt. decisions as referred to under (c) above have been given effect to.

Financial Assistance to King George Hospital, Guntur

4119. SHRI M.V.V.S. MURTHY: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to provide financial assistance for the modernisation of King George Hospital, Guntur in Andhra Pradesh;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI D.K. THARADEVI SIDDHARTHA): (a) No proposal has been received by the Government.

(b) and (c). Do not arise.

Functioning of C.G.H.S. Dispensaries in Rented Houses

4120. SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to refer to the reply given on November 26, 1991 to Unstarred Question No. 739 and state:

(a) the details of building in which the recommendations of the CPWD have been accepted and the landlords have been allowed the revised rent and the buildings in which the recommendations of the CPWD have not been accepted and the reasons therefor;

(b) whether the landlords are being compelled to accept double the rent after 5 years instead of allowing them the rent assessed by CPWD as per the guidelines issued by the Government; and

(c) if so, the steps proposed to be taken to remedy the situation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI D.K. THARADEVI SIDDHARTHA): (a) The details of buildings in respect of which recommendations of CPWD have been accepted and revised rent allowed and the details of the buildings in respect of which recommendations of CPWD have not been accepted are given in the Statement.

(b) and (c). Generally the assessment made by CPWD, if reasonable, is accepted by the Government. However, in cases where such assessment of revised rent increased by more than 100% over a span of 5 years, the matter is further examined in consultation with the Ministry of Urban Development. Pending such re-examination the rent has been provisionally revised and limited to an increase of 100% over a period of five years.

STATEMENT

(a) (i) The details of the buildings in respect of which the recommendations have been accepted and revised rent allowed to the landlords are given as under:-

1. Patel Nagar-I
2. Karol Bagh
3. Inderpuri
4. Janakpuri-I
5. Palam Colony